

While I whole-heartedly support the idea of allowing data communications on FRS, the limitation of the type of data communications to only very short data bursts for only a single purpose (proprietary GPS data communications) would introduce a competitive advantage to certain entities, and would severely limit

the flexibility of data communications applications. I would suggest simply changing the purpose of the FRS service to reflect the purpose outlined for the MURS Service in a recent FCC ruling: MURS is a two-way, short-distance, voice or data communication service intended for transmissions that do not typically require long duty cycles. I would like to suggest: FRS is a two-way, very short-distance, voice or data communication service intended for transmissions that do not typically require long duty cycles. This would allow GPS data packets to be sent, but would also allow other short data communications applications. I would also suggest placing the same type of restriction that MURS places against archaic/inefficient and bandwidth-robbing store-and-forward type packet repeaters/nodes.